UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes May 9-10, 1969

05-09-1969

Pages 40-77

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

May 9, 1969

The Board of Regents met on the above date in the Jot Travis

Student Union, University of Nevada, Reno.

Members present: Fred M. Anderson, M. D. (for a portion of the

meeting)

Mr. James H. Bilbray

Mr. Archie C. Grant

Mr. Procter Hug, Jr.

Mr. Harold Jacobsen

Mrs. Molly Knudtsen

Louis Lombardi, M. D.

Mr. R. J. Ronzone

Mr. Albert Seeliger

Dr. Juanita White

Members absent: Mr. Thomas G. Bell

Others present: Chancellor Neil D. Humphrey

President N. Edd Miller, UNR

President R. J. Zorn, UNLV

Acting Director Joseph A. Warburton, DRI

Mr. Edward L. Pine, Business Manager, UNR

Mr. Herman Westfall, Business Manager, UNLV

Mr. Edward Olsen, Director of Information, UNR

Dr. Don Driggs, Faculty Senate Chairman, UNR

Mr. Roger Miller, Faculty Senate Chairman, UNLV

Dr. William Butcher, Faculty Senate Chairman,

DRI

Mr. Jim Hardesty, ASUN President

Mr. Bill Terry, CSUN President

Mr. John Cevette, CSUN President-Elect

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Hug at 9:40 A.M.

1. Approval of Minutes of Previous Meeting

Upon motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, the minutes of the regular meeting of April 11, 1969 were

	approved as distributed.
2.	Professor Roger Miller, Chairman of the UNLV Faculty Senate,
	read a letter addressed to the Chairman of the Board of
	Regents expressing appreciation for the increased involve-
	ment of the faculty which had occurred during the past year.
3.	Chairman Hug introduced Mr. John Cevette, CSUN President-
	Elect and welcomed him to his first Board meeting.
4.	Acceptance of Gifts
	President Zorn presented the following list of gifts and
	grants received by UNLV:
	Library
	Mr. and Mrs. Edward A. Mlynarczyk - \$10 in memory of Mrs.
	Seaton.
	Mr. and Mrs. Ward Wengert - \$20 in memory of John E. Mc
	Manis.

Performing Arts

Mr. and Mrs. Kenneth Searles - \$1500

Mr. and Mrs. Sebastian Mikulich - \$2000

UNLV Athletic Program

Mr. Herb Biddulph - \$500

Mr. J. K. Biegger - \$1000

Mr. Jackie Gaughan - \$1000

Mr. William Morse - \$1000

Mr. Buddy Sarkissian - \$500

Music Concert Series

Mr. and Mrs. Herbert Grier - \$1000

Mr. A. R. Keitel - \$10

Mrs. Barbara Keitel - \$10

Scholarships

Mr. Charles Kandel, Jewish War Veterans - Post 711, c/o

Sands Hotel - \$350

Mr. Antonio Morelli - Antonio Morelli Friends of Music

Endowment Fund, the income from which is reserved for

UNLV Music Education Scholarships - \$5000

Miscellaneous

Mr. Edward Lovinger - 177 Tam Juniper Plants valued by the Buildings and Grounds Supervisor at \$1416.

Grants

Department of Health, Education and Welfare under Instructional Equipment Grants Program, Part A, Title VI, Higher Education Act of 1965, Project #04-087684 - \$13,055

Department of Health, Education and Welfare; training program in area of mentally retarded and emotionally disturbed children, Project #381137 - \$9000

President Miller presented the following list of gifts and grants received by UNR:

Scholarships

American Legion, Department of Nevada - \$200 representing two \$100 Military Scholarships to be selected by the Military Department.

Anglim, Mrs. Morgan - \$50 to the Morgan Anglim Memorial Scholarship Fund, awarded to Frederick Reid.

Harold's Club - \$150 scholarship awarded to Fred Reid, student in the Art Department.

Max C. Fleischmann Foundation - \$500 to Indian Education awarded to Larry R. Frank.

Miller, Colonel Thomas W. - \$100 ROTC scholarship, recipient to be selected by the Military Department.

Reno Business & Professional Women's Club - \$200 in memory of Dr. Christie Brown and Felice Cohn. To be awarded to a Sophomore or Junior woman.

The Estate of Ida C. Robinson - \$300 scholarship known as MARY ELIZABETH TALBOT SCHOLARSHIP.

Anonymous - \$1000 to the School of Nursing, to be given in the name of "A Friend of the Orvis School of Nursing".

Anonymous - \$100 award to the Edwin Booth Award Fund, awarded to an outstanding student in the theatre.

Chambers, Mr. and Mrs. W. M. - \$500 scholarship known as:

James F. Ryan Memorial Scholarship.

IBM Corporation - \$500 matching contribution to the JamesF. Ryan Memorial Scholarship.

Wisham, Mr. and Mrs. George M., Bakersfield, California - \$500 to the George Wisham (ROTC) Scholarship Fund. This award was presented on Governor's Day by the Military Science Department.

Miscellaneous

The following is a list of contributions to the Sigma Xi Installation and the annual Nevada Science Fair Fund:

Anaconda Company - \$100

Basic Refractories - \$100

Bell of Nevada - \$200

E. G. & G. - \$50

Foote Mineral Company, Exton, Pennsylvania - \$50

Kennecott Copper Corporation - \$100

Sierra Pacific Power Company - \$250

Standard Slag Company - \$50

Technical Instrument Company, San Francisco, Cali-

fornia - \$50

Union Carbide Exploration Corporation - \$5

Humphrey's Engineering Company, Denver, Colorado - industrial ore concentrator to Mackay School of Mines.

Newspaper Fund, Incorporated, Princeton, New Jersey - \$3000 for Editing Intern Program and \$1875 for Summer Workshop for High School Teachers.

Noranda Exploration Company, Limited - electromagnetic dipangle system to Mackay School of Mines.

Library

Cummings, Mr. and Mrs. W. M. - \$5 in memory of Lt. Col.

Mervin Johnson.

Guild, Guild & Cunningham - \$10 in memory of Elwood Hill.

Guild, Guild & Cunningham - \$10 in memory of Dr. Peter Jensen.

Legman, Mrs. Gershon, La Cle des Champs, Valbonne (A. M.),
France - a copy of her husband's book "The Fake Revolt".

Lombardi, Dr. Louis E. - \$15 in memory of Mr. Frank (Tim) Wilson.

Wear, Mrs. Edward - 2-volume edition of the "Encyclopedia of the Great Composers and Their Music", by Milton Cross.

Miscellaneous

Northern Nevada Association of Life Underwriters - \$150 for use of the College of Business Administration and the University of Nevada, Reno, Library for the purchase of books and library materials in insurance and related fields.

Office of Economic Opportunity, Washington, D. C. - \$106,005

to Project Upward Bound.

Department of Health, Education and Welfare, Washington,

D. C. - \$19,600 to Project #381138, College of Education,

Teddy Tower, Project Director.

Alumni Association Annual Giving Program:

Sam M. Ackerman, Whittier, California - \$10

Lois Adams, Chico, California - \$10

Fred M. Anderson, M. D., Reno - \$15

Fred M. Anderson, M. D., Reno - \$20

A. Wayne Ashley, San Jose, California - \$5

Enfield B. Bell, Sydney, N. S. W., Australia - \$20

Katherine Chambers, Reno - \$10

George F. Duborg, Charlottesville, Virginia - \$10

Frances E. English, Reno - \$10

Grant A. Engstrom, Placerville, California - \$10

Nolan W. Gault, Sparks - \$10

General Electric Company - \$25

Tim Grant, Reno - \$10

Alfred W. Hansen, Chowchilla, California - \$5

Gerald B. Hartley, Jr., Reno - \$20

Hughes Aircraft Company, Los Angeles, California - \$10

IBM - \$50

Dorothy Kunsch, Reno - \$25

Judie G. Leonard, Las Vegas - \$5

Motorola Foundation - \$50

Edwin D. Murray, Clinton, Washington - \$100

Jack P. Strickland, Reno - \$5

Donald C. Tibbals, Pebble Beach, California - \$10

Michael C. Tippett, Salt Lake City, Utah - \$15

Joann Willey, Sacramento, California - \$25

James T. Wright, Hawthorne, California - \$10

Motion by Mr. Grant, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the gifts and grants be accepted and the Secretary be requested to send notes of thanks to the donors.

5. Antonio Morelli Friends of Music

President Zorn reported receipt of \$5000 an an initial gift for the establishment of the Antonio Morelli Friends of Music Scholarship Fund to assist UNLV Music students. He recommended acceptance of the gift under the terms of the

Founding Articles and Administrative Procedures (filed with permanent minutes).

Motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the gift be accepted and the terms of the gift be approved.

6. Training Agreement with Nevada National Bank

President Miller presented a proposal by Deans Weems and
White for a training agreement between Nevada National
Bank and the University of Nevada as follows:

As Deans of the Colleges of Business Administration at Reno and Las Vegas, we recommend to the Board of Regents via appropriate channels, that the following proposal be accepted in principle by the Board of Regents. Further details are to be agreed upon between the Deans of the Colleges of Business Administration and the officials of the Bank, and to be approved by the Presidents and the Chancellor of the University of Nevada System.

The Nevada National Bank, through its Chairman of

the Board, John H. Hickman, has proposed to establish 4 graduate fellowships and 4 graduate assistantships at the University of Nevada. They are to be divided equally between the Colleges of Business Administration at Reno and Las Vegas.

The graduate assistantships carry a stipend, which includes a part-time working arrangement with the Nevada National Bank, that will be approximately equivalent to existing assistantships on both Campuses. However, this arrangement involves full-time Summer employment in the Bank as well. The assistantships include a payment of fees by the Bank, and contribution toward books and other study materials. The internships include part-time Summer working arrangements with the Bank, and some contribution toward University fees.

In addition, the Bank proposes to make gifts to the Colleges of Business Administration of \$1000 annually for each graduate fellow and intern. Taken as a whole, the Bank's proposal comes to some \$35,000 annually, inclusive of payments to the student for work activity performed and gifts to the University.

President Zorn and President Miller endorsed the proposal.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval of the acceptance of these graduate fellowships and graduate assistantships and further recommended that the Administration be authorized to enter into the necessary contractual agreement

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

7. Report of Personnel Actions

with Nevada National Bank.

Upon motion by Dr. White, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, the following personnel actions were approved as recommended by the appropriate officers:

UNR

COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE

Douglas Alan Reynolds, Extension Agent for Indian Programs,

Owyhee, 5/3-6/30/69 - annual rate \$9420 (replacement for

Chad Gibson)

John E. Wood, Professor of Wildlife Management, Renewable

Natural Resources Division, fiscal year 1969-70 - \$17,460

(position unfilled in 1968-69)

COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

Paul H. Chamberlain, Graduate Assistant in Chemistry, academic year 1969-70 - \$2500 (replacement for Yoo Hang Kim)

Paul David Elcano, Graduate Assistant in English, academic year 1969-70 - \$2500 (replacement for Larryetta Schall)

Gregory N. Gabbard, Assistant Professor of English, academic year 1969-70 - \$9875 (replacement for Howard Starks)

Raymond L. Gonsalves, Assistant Professor of Health, P. E. and Recreation, academic year 1969-70 - \$11,750 (replacement for Jerrold Scattini, moved to new position)

Robert Lee Moog, Graduate Assistant in English, academic year 1969-70 - \$2500 (replacement for Arthur Broten, Jr.)

Andrew Vogel, Graduate Assistant in English, academic year 1969-70 - \$2700 (replacement for Ann Marie Lesperance)

Capt. Edward R. Erkman, Assistant Professor of Military
Science, indefinite, start April 10, 1969 - (replacement
for Robert Garrett)

COLLEGE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Robert L. C. Miller, Lecturer in Accounting, academic year 1969-70 - \$13,375 (replacement for Froilan Flores)

MACKAY SCHOOL OF MINES

Patrick L. Beaulieu, Assistant Chemist, NBM & NMAL, 4/1-6/30/69 - \$11,370 (replacement for Harold Vincent)

Edward C. Bingler, Associate Mining Geologist in NBM, 5/1-6/30/69 - \$13,320 (position unfilled in 1968-69)

LIBRARY

Stephen Alonzo Powell, Acquisitions Librarian, 4/1-6/30/69
- \$2452.50 (base \$9810) (replacing Dorothy Hamel)

Dorothy Mae Hamel, AEC Librarian, 4/1-6/30/69 - \$2625 (base \$10,500) (special contract agreement with AEC)

8. Approval of Check Registers

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval of the check registers as submitted by the Business Manager, UNR (filed with permanent minutes).

Motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the check registers be approved as submitted.

9. Approval of Fund Transfers

Upon motion by Dr. White, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, the following fund transfers were approved as recommended by the Chancellor:

#480 \$2200 from Contingency Reserve, UNR, to Press and Publications to provide funds for publication of Graduate Catalog.

#484 \$202 from Chancellor's Office, Operating, to Institutional Memberships, to provide funds for approved memberships.

Dr. Anderson asked for a report on institutional memberships. Chancellor Humphrey agreed to transmit such a report.

10. Approval of Preliminary Plans for Law Library

President Miller introduced Judge Laurance Hyde, Jr., Dean,

National College of State Trial Judges, and David Vhay,

A. I. A., Architect for the Law Library to be constructed

on the UNR Campus under a grant from the Max C. Fleischmann

Foundation.

Judge Hyde and Mr. Vhay presented the preliminary plans.

Mr. Ronzone asked if the construction of this building would be considered as a step toward a Law School. President Miller said the building was not intended to serve a Law School. Its construction, he said, was to honor a commitment to the National College of State Trial Judges.

Mr. Bilbray said that some of the Regents are afraid that a year from now there would be another battle over a Law School.

Dr. Zorn said that he was concerned and suggested that note be taken of this discussion of whether plans involve a Law School. He suggested that a feasibility study be made and that this building not be considered a determinant factor in the eventual initiation of a Law School.

President Miller agreed and pointed out that the National College of State Trial Judges is an ongoing program and the Law Library is designed to serve the needs of that College and will be required for that purpose regardless of any future location of a Law School.

Mr. Jacobsen pointed out that construction of this building is merely an extension of a decision made 5 years ago when the National College was invited to the University of Nevada.

Motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the preliminary plans for the Law Library be approved.

Mr. Hug spoke of the value to the University of Nevada
which has been gained by the addition of the National
College to the Campus, noting the national recognition
that has been achieved by the programs conducted by the
College.

11. Approval of Application for Title II Grant

Mr. Pine reported that an application for \$300,000 for the Physical Sciences Complex, Phase II, Physics building, under Title II, Graduate Facilities, was ready for submission by UNR and requested approval by the Board. President Miller and Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Dr. White, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the application be approved for submission.

12. Mr. Pine submitted a progress report on construction projects under the supervision of the UNR Physical Plant Department (report on file in Chancellor's Office).

Mr. Seeliger asked about the status of the planning for

the Education building, UNR. Mr. Pine noted that all parties concerned have been meeting to develop these plans, however, he said, the matter is not yet ready to come to the Board. He said he would like to have an opportunity to discuss this matter with the Plant and Property Committee prior to the next Board meeting.

Mr. Grant asked about the status of the planning for the UNLV Education building. Dr. Zorn said they are ready to start preliminary planning with the architect.

It was agreed that a meeting of the Plant and Property

Committee should be scheduled for a date prior to the

next Board meeting.

13. Proposed Architectural Competition for Humanities Building

Mr. William Hancock, Manager, State Planning Board, stated that the Planning Board had earlier requested consideration by the University of an architectural competition for the design of the Humanities building. However, he said, in view of the recommendation by both President Zorn and Chancellor Humphrey, the Planning Board had withdrawn its request for a competition for this project and had selected

Zick & Sharp as the architects. Mr. Hancock pointed out that the Planning Board strongly supports competitions on all appropriate buildings and stated that he had been directed to advise the Board of Regents and the University Administration that it will require architectural competitions on all future projects which are considered appropriate and of significant size to warrant competitions.

(Note to minutes: Letter from Mr. Hancock to Mr. Humphrey, dated May 5, 1969, re this matter filed with permanent minutes.)

President Zorn expressed appreciation to the State Planning
Board for the consideration given to the University's
recommendation on this matter.

Mr. Hancock noted that final plans should be available by
February 1970; occupancy should be possible by Summer of
1971. He cautioned that the most critical point in maintaining this schedule would be the coordination between
the Campus representatives and the architect.

14. Revision to Foreign Language Requirements for Ph. D. Degree

President Miller president a recommendation from the UNR

Graduate Council which would revise the foreign language requirements for the Ph. D. degree, as follows:

As a requirement for the Ph. D. degree, every student must demonstrate proficiency in one language other than his native tongue. The language will be selected in consultation between the student and his Department advisors. The language chosen should contribute to studies in the student's field and should be English if the student's native tongue is not English.

Though the student's ultimate goal should be an ability
to "communicate" in the chosen language, he must demonstrate at least 2nd year College proficiency in that
language. The achievement of that level of proficiency
may be demonstrated by any of the following methods:

1. By presentation of an undergraduate transcript showing completion with a grade of "C" or better of at least 3 semester hours in a 4th semester undergraduate College course in the chosen foreign language or in an equivalent College course as determined by the Foreign Language Department.
These credits must have been acceptable toward

a baccalaureat degree in an accredited institution.

- By submitting an official transcript from an accredited institution showing satisfactory completion of a graduate foreign language requirement.
- By registering in a 4th semester language course and passing it with a "C" or by passing the final examination in that course with a "C".
- 4. By receiving a satisfactory score in the Graduate School Foreign Language Test (GSFLT) of the Education Testing Service (ETS) before or after admission to Graduate School. The score must be at the 33rd percentile or higher when compared to ETS data for students enrolled in a standard 4th semester undergraduate College course in the language. (The specific percentile requirement will be reviewed periodically.)

Individual academic Departments may require the student to demonstrate additional skills in the

foreign language he has chosen and may require proficiency in additional languages. (Students should consult the University catalog and individual Departments concerning Departmental requirements.)

5. For approved languages which cannot practically be tested by the above procedures, the student may meet the requirement by passing examinations specified by the Department of Foreign Languages or its designate.

It is recommended that all prospective graduate students complete their graduate foreign language requirements as undergraduates.

These regulations will become effective for graduate students first entering Graduate School in the Fall semester of the 1969-70 academic year.

Students enrolled in the Graduate School prior to the Fall semester 1969-70 have the option of graduation either under the requirements of the year they first enrolled in the Graduate School or under the degree

requirements of the year they receive their degree.

No combination of requirements will be permitted.

Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent that the revision be approved as recommended.

15. Pass/Fail Grading System

President Miller reported that the UNR Graduate Council had recently approved the adoption of a pass/fail grading system, as follows:

To increase the freedom of a student to take courses outside his major without high risk to his grade point average, the following policy is proposed for adoption:

 A student may choose to have certain graduate courses entered on his transcript with pass or fail only recorded. The selection of courses so recorded is the privilege of the student within the following restrictions:

- A. Pass-fail grades may not be recorded for courses which are an essential part of the subject matter preparation of the student in a particular discipline or which are direct preparation for his chosen thesis area, as determined by his advisory committee.
- B. Courses recorded as pass-fail are not used to compute grade point averages; however, up to 3 credits may be applied toward the minimum requirements for a Masters Degree and up to 9 credits may be applied toward the minimum requirements for a Ph. D.

 Approval of the student's advisory committee is required prior to registration for courses recorded as pass-fail if the courses will be used to meet minimum degree requirements.
- II. Selection of pass-fail recording of the grades in a course will be made at registration; changes

from letter grade to pass-fail during the semester are not possible.

- III. Pass-fail grade recording is the prerogative of the student; the instructor will assign the appropriate letter grade (A-F) except as provided in IV. The change to pass-fail will be made during the grade recording process. Since a grade of C or better is required for acceptable graduate credits, grades of D and F will be recorded as fail and A, B and C will be recorded as pass.
- IV. Certain courses may be graded only as pass-fail.

 Such courses must be of a nature so as to not be in conflict with Section I; i. e., they may not be required in any graduate discipline nor an essential part of any student's program of courses. Courses which are designated pass-fail will be clearly marked in the catalog and schedule of classes. Designation of a course as one in which pass-fail grades only are recorded is a course change, subject to the normal approvals for such changes.

In response to a question from Dr. Anderson, Dean O'Brien stated that this pass/fail system should not involve any problems for transferring students.

16. Limit on Extension Teaching

President Miller requested approval of a recent Class A

Action by the UNR faculty that "regular full-time professional staff members shall teach no more than one course in addition to their regular academic loads in any one semester. In special circumstances, the Department

Chairman, with the approval of the Dean of the College involved, may make an exception."

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval, effective Fall semester, 1969.

There was discussion of the amount of time faculty members spend on outside consulting activities and what safeguards are used to maintain effective contribution to his principal function at the University.

There was also discussion of the status of the student

evaluation of faculty projects now under way on both

Campuses with Joe Bell and Bill Terry reporting for their respective Campuses.

Bill Terry noted that first publication of the results of the evaluation at UNLV will be out before the end of the semester and copies will be forwarded to the Board of Regents.

Joe Bell noted that the form used in the pilot program at UNR had been developed after review of the UNLV form. He also noted that the materials had been sent to UNR Faculty Senate.

Mr. Bilbray suggested the Presidents be requested to urge their faculties to cooperate with the students in the conduct of these evaluations.

Mr. Hug said that, in his opinion, this was an effective way for the Regents to have some evaluations of the effectiveness of the individual faculty member.

Dr. Zorn agreed that cooperation by the faculty should be encouraged but pointed out that this could not be com-

pulsory.

The discussion returned to the proposal on the limitation on extension teaching.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent that the proposal be approved as recommended, effective Fall semester, 1969, for UNR only.

17. Department of Social Services and Corrections, UNR

President Miller requested that a separate Department of Social Services and Corrections be established in the College of Arts and Science, noting that this program is presently a part of the Sociology Department. Dr. Miller recalled a similar request at UNLV which had been approved by the Regents, noting that, as in the case of the program in Las Vegas, the one in Reno was also receiving subsidy from the State and Federal programs. Dr. Miller introduced Dr. Harold Kirkpatrick, Acting Dean of the College of Arts and Science, and Dr. Loren C. Belknap, Coordinator of the Social Services Program.

Dr. Belknap reviewed the history of the program, noting

that it had been initiated in 1967 through support from the State of Nevada. (Additional supporting data was presented in a letter from Dr. Belknap included with the agenda, and filed with permanent minutes.)

Mr. Jacobsen asked what differences exist between Sociology and Social Services. Dr. Belknap explained that the sociologist is one whose principal role is one of study whereas the social worker is a practitioner. Mr. Jacobsen asked if these two areas would be totally separated. Dr. Belknap answered no because each Department would be offering courses of interest to the other Department's students.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mrs. Knudtsen, carried with Regent White opposing that the proposed Department be established. Dr. White stated that her opposition was based on her belief that creation of a new Department would involve too much separation.

18. Interdisciplinary Ph. D. Program in Engineering, UNR

President Miller presented a proposal for an Interdisciplinary Ph. D. Program in Engineering to be offered at UNR (copy of proposal on file in Chancellor's Office).

At President Miller's request, Dean James T. Anderson,

College of Engineering, reviewed the history of the

development of this proposal and summarized the details

contained in the document.

Dean Anderson stated that the College of Engineering has experience in doctoral programs in that they have been cooperating with the College of Agriculture and Mackay School of Mines since 1965 in offering the Ph. D. degree in Hydrology. He noted that within the College of Engineering there are 11 faculty who presently hold the doctorate; there are a number of research laboratories already in use for master's degree programs which will serve the needs of a Ph. D. program, such as the Solid State Laboratory, the Cryogenic Facility, the subsonic and supersonic wind tunnels, the hydrogen bubble generator, the neutron generator, plus a great deal of standard instrumentation and testing equipment which is used for undergraduate and graduate instructional research work.

Dean Anderson reported that the College of Engineering now offers 57 dual listed courses (600-700 level) in

Engineering which both undergraduate and graduate students now take, and 88 graduate courses (900 level) in Engineering listed in the catalog, thus requiring very few, if any, additional courses to support the Ph. D. degree program.

With reference to the present library holdings, Dean

Anderson pointed out that whereas the Clapp-Jordan formula recommends a minimum of 25,000 books in a field of concentration, there are presently available in the Engineering, Mines, Physical Sciences and DRI libraries, a total of 42,000 books. Also, whereas, the Clapp-Jordan formula recommends a minimum of 176 periodical titles for a field of concentration, there are presently 500 titles in Engineering alone, with 800 more in Mines, Physical Sciences and DRI.

With reference to the future budget, Dean Anderson noted at the present time the College of Engineering is already handling a few doctoral candidates in the Hydrology program. He also noted that one NSF traineeship is reserved to support a doctoral candidate if the Engineering program is approved and they are in the process of applying for National Defense Education Act support, subject to

approval of the program. He pointed out that having a Ph. D. program will make UNR eligible for traineeships and fellowships and certain types of research grants, in addition to the graduate teaching assistantships which would be generated to support doctoral candidates. Thus, he said, the 10 students in residence which are projected could be handled using the above sources of support and the currently available laboratories and courses. However, he said, by 1971, to handle the combined load of increased masters' enrollment, plus the Ph. D. enrollment, one FTE faculty will probably be needed, plus 1 1/2 supporting positions.

Dean Anderson concluded by noting that this program had been projected in the College's 10-year planning, for initiation in 1969 and had been included in the UNR 10-year program. He stated that he believed it to be a practical, economical proposal that would make the best use of present resources and should strengthen the present undergraduate and graduate work.

President Miller recommended approval of the new program as proposed. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Dr. Anderson moved that the Interdisciplinary Ph. D. Program in Engineering be approved as recommended. Motion seconded by Mr. Jacobsen.

Mr. Bilbray expressed concern that there was evidently
a lack of any long range plan for the initiation of new
programs at the University and asked for an explanation
of the 10-year planning document to which Dean Anderson
had referred.

President Miller recalled that the Board of Regents had adopted a 10-Year Plan for the System which had included the new program projections for each Campus.

(Note to Minutes: "Charting a Course for the University of Nevada System", a Ten Year Budget for 1969-79, was adopted by the Board of Regents on January 11, 1969, upon motion by Mr. Grant, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, "with the full understanding that it is a planning document and subject to amendment." This plan had been developed in compliance with a statute adopted by the 1967 Legislature and was forwarded to the 1969 Session of the State Legislature after adoption by the Board of Regents.)

Dr. Anderson pointed out that at the time the Ten Year

Program Document had been discussed and adopted, it had
been pointed out by both Presidents and by the Chancellor
that this should be considered a planning document and
should not be considered rigid.

Acting Director Warburton expressed congratulations to the College of Engineering that it had reached the point of excellence which would permit it to offer such a program. He added that it would be his hope that DRI could continue to cooperate with the College through joint appointments.

Dr. Zorn stated that he had no objections to the proposed program but would wish to speak later to what he considered a proliferation of new programs.

Dr. White stated that she considered the program too expensive.

Dean Anderson pointed out that this is a self-limiting program in that you must attract the grants to attract the students.

Dr. Anderson spoke of the advances in Engineering technology that have been made in past years and that are continuing and suggested that future demands are such that a doctoral level program is necessary if the University of Nevada is to keep pace.

Dr. Zorn stated that it is a matter of concern to him that the Universities continue to have a plan for development but urged some coordination between the Campuses in the development of these plans. He said he did not think the State of Nevada can support duplicate programs.

Dr. Zorn continued by pointing out that UNLV's growth is restricted because of the demands on the undergraduate programs; in the meantime, more and more territory is being pre-empted. He suggested the need for some projected master planning which would coordinate the plans of both Campuses. Dr. Zorn also expressed concern over the pre-emption of funds. He recommended that coordination occur and some priorities be established. He further recommended a moratorium on new programs.

President Miller agreed that there should be coordination but said he did not agree to a stoppage of new programs.

He recalled that two new graduate programs were approved for UNLV at the last meeting and expressed opposition to a moratorium on either Campus.

Dr. Lombardi stated that the Board of Regents must concern itself with unnecessary duplications of programs.

Mr. Bilbray moved to table further consideration of this proposal until a Regents' committee could be appointed to examine the matter of duplication of programs. He suggested a committee be appointed by the Chairman and be allowed two months to complete its study. Motion was not seconded.

Dr. Anderson expressed opposition to the motion to table, pointing out that the College of Engineering is well established and had presented an excellent plan which would be financed without State funds.

Mr. Hug asked Dr. Zorn if this program was of specific concern to him. Dr. Zorn said he was not primarily concerned with this proposal, but felt the need to sort out objectives for both Campuses. Mr. Hug agreed that there must be an analysis of the plans for each Campus.

Mr. Bilbray again moved to table consideration of this proposal. Motion seconded by Dr. White and was defeated by a vote of two in favor and eight opposing.

Dr. White said she thought there had been an agreement that a longer period of time would be allowed for study of new programs before action was requested and repeated that she felt this program was too expensive.

Mr. Bilbray said he felt there should be time for the Regents to consult with the faculty on the Las Vegas Campus.

Mr. Jacobsen said he opposed consulting one faculty concerning academic programs proposed by the other faculty, pointing out that this was an objection registered by both faculties and both Campus Adminstrations.

Mr. Ronzone suggested that a doctoral program should require one month interim before action is requested.

Mr. Jacobsen recalled that Dean Anderson had first spoken of a Ph. D. program when he came to the University in 1963.

He also pointed out that the Regents had received the pro-

posal 10 days prior to the Board meeting and requested a vote on the motion.

Motion carried with Regent White opposing.

Mr. Hug said he believed the suggestion for a committee to study the whole matter of coordination of academic programs was a good one and agreed to consider the appointment of such a committee.

The meeting adjourned for lunch and reconvened at 1:50 P.M., at which time Mr. Hug reported that he was prepared to refer the matter of coordination and development of new programs to the Educational Policies Committee and would suggest to its Chairman, Mr. Thomas Bell, that the Committee meet prior to the next meeting of the Board to develop plans on how to approach the problem of new and existing programs to avoid unnecessary duplication.

Dr. Anderson left the meeting.

19. Allocation of Out-of-State Fee Waivers, UNLV

its discretion, the Board of Regents may grant tuitions
free each University semester to worthwhile and deserving
students from other states and foreign countries, in number not to exceed a number equal to 3% of the total matriculated enrollment of students for the last preceding Fall
semester."

President Zorn presented the following recommendations for the allocation of out-of-state fee waivers at UNLV for 1969-70 based on a total regular student enrollment in Fall, 1968, of 3651:

Athletics 50% or 55

Music 20% or 22

Other 30% or 33

100% or 110

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

(Note to Minutes: Using the same definition for regular student enrollment; i. e., matriculated enrollment, the

number of out-of-state fee waivers available to UNR in 1969-70 would be 3% of 5714 or 171 waivers. This figure was incorrectly reported at the April meeting as 203 waivers, based on an enrollment figure of 6722.)

Dr. Zorn commented that it is his understanding that UNLV faculty are pushing for an increase in the 3% limitation.

20. Request for Redefinition of Special Student

President Zorn presented a request which had been forwarded earlier by Vice President Baepler that special students (since redefined as non-degree students) be permitted to carry two courses totaling up to 8 credits (this student is now restricted to two courses totaling 6 credits).

Chancellor Humphrey suggested that there should be an understanding of how the fee would be assessed, pointing out that the consolidated fee is now assessed students carrying 7 or more credits.

President Zorn said it was the intention that a per credit fee would be assessed for 8 credits or less and the consolidated fee would be applicable only to the student en-

rolled for 9 or more credits.

Mr. Seeliger asked if there had been any consideration of such action at UNR. President Miller said it had not been discussed.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

21. Proposal to Increase Room and Board Charges, UNLV

President Zorn reported that he would recommend at the June meeting that there be a 10% increase in board and room charges at UNLV beginning with the 1969-70 fiscal year. Dr. Zorn noted that food prices have been increasing at the rate of 5% per annum, and it is intended that meals will be increased from 19 to 20 per week. He also noted that salary increases have been approved for classified personnel on the Dormitory staff and a maintenance position must be added. Dr. Zorn also noted that it is necessary to begin repayment of an earlier loan from Reno's Auxiliary Enterprises.

President Zorn reported that this matter has been dis-

cussed by Student Personnel staff with appropriate student boards and has received a strong endorsement. He requested that action not be taken until the June meeting, noting that it had been included as an information item now to provide advance notice to students.

President Miller indicated that he would be recommending a similar increase at the June meeting.

22. Proposal to Delete Fee

President Zorn recommended that the \$1 fee charged for a change in registration be deleted, effective July 1, 1969, at UNLV.

Chancellor Humphrey endorsed the recommendation, noting that a similar action had been taken at the April meeting at the request of UNR.

Motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Dr. White, carried without dissent that the fee be discontinued as recommended.

23. Approval of Purchase in Excess of \$5000, UNLV

President Zorn reported that the following bids were received on April 22, 1969 for the purchase of microscopes for the Department of Biology:

Western Scientific \$4,828.00

Carolina Biological (Incomplete) 5,805.00

KEK Scientific 7,975.00

Standard Scientific (Incomplete) 8,434.00

Sargent-Welch Scientific 8,958.00

Van Waters & Rogers 9,333.60

Carl Zeiss 15,227.00

President Zorn stated that the bids of Western Scientific and Carolina Biological did not meet specifications and recommended that the bid of KEK Scientific in the amount of \$7975 be accepted. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Mr. Seeliger, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

24. Proposed Supplement to English A Program

President Zorn noted that in May, 1968 the Board voted to

discontinue the \$54 fee for English A effective Fall semester, 1969. He stated that he anticipates that there will be an unexpended balance of \$3300 in the English A account at UNLV and requested that these funds be made available as a supplement to the regular State appropriated instructional budget for English A.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended approval.

Motion by Mr. Ronzone, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

25. Senate Bill 139

Chancellor Humphrey reported that SB 139 was approved by the Legislature and has been signed by the Governor (Chapter 337, 1969 Statutes). He pointed out that a requirement of the bill is that a "proper official" must be "designated by the administrative officer or board in charge of such building."

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that the Board of Regents designate the President, UNR, and the President, UNLV, or their designees as the "proper official" in charge of all

buildings on their respective Campus.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Seeliger, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

26. Report on Current Admission Requirements

Chancellor Humphrey recalled that in March, 1969 the Board requested that a report be presented in May by the Admissions Officers on the current undergraduate admission requirements. He noted the presence of Dr. Jack Shirley, Director of Admissions, UNR, and Mr. Dallas Norton, Director of Admissions, UNLV, and suggested that they might wish to make their presentations.

Dr. Shirley reviewed the admissions requirements at UNR, as follows:

SUMMARY OF UNDERGRADUATE ADMISSION REQUIREMENTS
FOR 1969

I. REGULAR MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS

A. ASSOCIATE

High school graduation or equivalent

(GED, ACT) for pro-

Home Economics, Engi-

grams in Agriculture,

neering Technology.

2. Second Year C average on above on

(Transfer) all acceptable trans-

fer credits.

B. BACCALAUREATE

1. Freshman Graduation from an

accredited or ap-

proved high school

with:

a. Grades - GPA of

2.3 (A=4) or

above on all

subjects.

other academic

units (10 minimum

academic units).

- c. Total Units 16 or more.
- Freshman on Proba- Graduation from an tion (Nevada resi- accredited or apdent only) (Previ- high school with: ously Unclassified)
 - a. Grades GPA 2.0and 2.29 (A=4) onall subjects.
 - b. Subjects Non-

specific subject
(s) as noted

above.

c. Test - If overall

GPA is less than

2.0, applicant

must qualify on a

combination of

ACT scores and

high school

grades.

3. Transfer C average or above

on all acceptable

transfer credit.

II. NONDEGREE

(Previously special) High school gradua-

tion, or 21 years of

age or over. May

register without

formal admission.

(6 credits of class-

room instruction is

the maximum regis-

tration per semester.

In addition, 2 cor-

respondence courses

may be taken simul-

taneously.)

COMMENTS

Applicants who do not qualify for baccalaureate admission may remove deficiencies by attending as a NON-DEGREE student.

Under previous policy a high school grade (quality)

deficiency could be removed by completing specific

University courses with a grade of C or above. The

revised admission requirement necessitates the establishment of an acceptable minimum standard for

NONDEGREE students to qualify for admission to the

Freshman on Probation classification.

President Miller also resubmitted, with his request for

approval by the Board, the following recommendations by the UNR faculty concerning admission of disapproved high school students:

NEVADA RESIDENTS: Disapproved RESIDENT applicants are required to earn a C average or above in approved academic courses numbered 100 or above for the number of credits specified by the corresponding ACT composite standard score:

ACT Composite Minimum Academic Credits Required

21 and above 6 semester credits

20 9 semester credits

19 12 semester credits

18 or less 15 semester credits

NOTE: The classification is "Freshman on Probation" when admission is gained in this manner.

NONRESIDENTS: Disapproved NONRESIDENT applicants are required to earn a minimum of 15 acceptable semester credits in courses numbered 100 or higher with a C average or above to qualify for admission.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, carried with Mr. Seeliger opposing, that the above recommendation be approved. Mr. Seeliger noted that his opposition was based on his belief that admission requirements for the two Campuses should be uniform.

Mr. Norton presented the following summary of admission requirements for UNLV:

- I. Student Classifications
 - A. Undergraduate (identical entrance requirements for 2 and 4-year degree programs)
 - 1. Regular:

Freshman - 2.30 GPA minimum required subject pattern.

Transfer - 2.00 (C) GPA minimum (and eligible to return to previous University).

2. Probationary:

Freshman (resident) GPA 2.00 - 2.29 or satisfactory combined ACT and high school record (or adult resident non-high school graduate with satisfactory ACT scores).

Transfer less than 2.00 (C) GPA - Admitted by Admissions Committee.

(Release from probation: Upon achievement of 15 semester hours with "C" or better average and corrections of any specific subject deficiency.)

3. Qualifying (non-degree):

High school diploma or equivalent (out-of-state requires 2.00 (C) high school GPA - if College credits earned (less than 15) may admit on diploma as long as deficiency, if any, on College work is not greater than -4).

(Transfer to degree program upon satisfactory completion of prescribed courses.) 4. Special (part-time non-degree): Admission not required; limit 6 hours per semester. B. Graduate 1. Graduate Standing: 2.50 GPA minimum (or 3.00 for last 2 years) or satisfactory GRE (or ATGSB) scores. 2. Graduate Special (non-degree): Verification of Bachelor or higher degree or satisfactory GRE (or ATGSB) scores if

There was discussion of the differing admission requirements of the 2 Campuses, particularly of the Qualifying

Program offered by UNLV which has as its only restriction

from unaccredited College.

a high school diploma or equivalent. In response to a question concerning the success factor of the students in this program, Mr. Norton stated that it had been good but suggested that even if only 10% of those attending achieved success it was worthwhile.

Mr. Jacobsen suggested that some kind of study be done on this program which would show how many people have been hurt by being allowed to enter an academic program and failing when they should perhaps have been counseled into another area.

27. Revision to Faculty Bulletin #853

Chancellor Humphrey reviewed the earlier action on this matter, noting that a recommendation from UNLV had been brought to the Board at a previous meeting at which time the Board had requested that a uniform policy statement be developed for the System. Subsequent to that time, student and faculty committees on both Campuses have been involved in developing a revision to the policy on "Use of University Facilities" and a joint report had been developed and endorsed by both Presidents, as follows:

POLICY REGARDING THE USE OF UNIVERSITY FACILITIES

University facilities, including Campus grounds, are provided primarily for the support of the regular educational functions of the University and the activities necessary for the support of these functions. The University's functions take precedence over any other activities in the use of University facilities.

Freedom to speak and to hear will be maintained for students, faculty and staff and University policies and procedures will be used to provide a full and frank exchange of ideas. An effort should be made to allow a balanced program of speakers and ideas.

An invitation to speak at the University does not imply that the University endorses the philosophy or ideas presented by the speaker.

University facilities may not be used for the purpose of raising monies to aid projects not related to some authorized activity of the University or of University groups, and no efforts at conversion and solication

by uninvited noncampus groups or individuals will be permitted on Campus.

Chancellor Humphrey recommended that Faculty Bulletin #853 be rescinded and replaced by the joint policy statement as recommended by the 2 Campus Committees and the 2 Presidents.

President Miller stated that it had seemed to him that
the Committees had developed a statement which essentially
incorporated the existing policy and had deleted the procedure. He suggested the procedure should be worked out
on each Campus.

Dr. Zorn concurred, noting that this policy had received a great deal of study.

Motion by Mrs. Knudtsen, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that the policy statement be approved as presented with the understanding that its implementation would be the responsibility of the Administration.

28. Selection Procedures for University Officers

Chancellor Humphrey reviewed the various actions by the 3
Faculty Senates (resolutions filed with permanent minutes).
He further noted that the Coordinating Council had met on
May 7, 1969 and presented the following report of the meeting.

The Coordinating Council on May 7, 1969, following consideration of 3 different resolutions adopted by the 3 Faculty Senates, has recommended that the Board of Regents consider amendment to its By-Laws to include the following policy:

I. Systemwide Officers

- A. The Faculty Senates of the 3 units shall participate in a meaningful manner in the creation of new Systemwide administrative positions which will have a significant impact on the teaching and/or research tasks of the University of Nevada System.
- B. A faculty screening and nominating committee shall be established whenever a vacancy occurs in the position of Chancellor or in any System-

wide administrative position which has impact on the teaching and/or research tasks of the University of Nevada System. Such committee shall proportionately represent the faculty of each unit of the University of Nevada System. The committee members shall be elected under procedures established by the respective Faculty Senates, and the 2 Student Body Presidents shall be ex officion members. The committee shall conduct a nationwide search for the best candidates and shall make its recommendations through the Chancellor to the Board of Regents. The Chairman of the committee, who shall be elected by the membership, shall have full access to the Board in all personnel sessions concerned with the appointment of the officer in question.

- II. Officers of the University of Nevada, Reno*
 - A. The Faculty Senate shall participate in a meaningful manner in the creation of new administrative positions which will have a significant impact on the teaching and/or research

tasks of the University of Nevada, Reno.

- B. A faculty screening and nominating committee shall be established whenever a vacany occurs in the office of the President or in any University-wide administrative position which has a significant impact on the teaching and/ or research tasks of the University of Nevada, Reno. The committee members shall be elected under procedures established by the Faculty Senate, and the ASUN President shall be an ex officio member. The committee shall conduct a nationwide search for the best candidate and shall make its recommendations through the Chancellor (in the case of the position of the President), or the President to the Board of Regents. The Chairman of the committee, who shall be elected by the membership, shall have full access to the Board in all personnel sessions concerned with the appointment of the officer in question.
 - * Assuming appropriate rewording to reflect the appropriate division of the University System;

i. e., University of Nevada, Reno; Universityof Nevada, Las Vegas; Desert Research Institute; or Community College Division.

The vote on this matter was 5 in favor and 1 abstention by the regular voting members and 2 in favor and 1 absent by the DRI advisory voting members.

Mr. Humphrey noted that the Coordinating Council is asking for consideration of a Systemwide policy that would provide that the Faculty Senates would participate in the creation of new administrative positions. He further noted that it was understood that the Coordinating Council was not referring to staff positions in the Chancellor's Office nor staff positions in a President or Director's office. The Council is also requesting committees shall be established to assist in the filling of vacancies at an administrative level.

Chancellor Humphrey suggested the 3 administrative officers might wish to comment.

President Miller stated he had no further comment other than that made in his letter concerning the UNR Faculty

Senate's recommendation (filed with permanent minutes).

Dr. Zorn suggested that there must be some clarification of the Senate's action; e. g., which administrative positions would be involved.

Dr. Driggs suggested that an Academic Vice President would be an appropriate example of a position which should receive faculty participation. Most important would be those positions with line functions, he said. He added that details could be worked out later and if there is a question, then the faculty should be consulted.

Mr. Warburton expressed concern over the proposed faculty involvement in the creation of new administrative positions and suggested this needs a clearer definition. He said he believed the mechanism suggested for filling vacancies is reasonable; however, he was concerned over how far discussion of the creation of a position should go.

Mr. Hug suggested a number of areas which might need clarification: Would the Faculty Senates be the appropriate ones to participate or should such a committee be appointed in a different way? How about student involvement in creation of a position? How about the term "impact"? Would

"consulted" be preferable to "participate"? The use of the word "proportionately" was disturbing; would it not be better for each Division to have equal voice? Is it really intended that only the Chairman have access to the Board of Regents meeting? Is it valid to suggest that he be present at all personnel sessions? Should not the Board of Regents reserve to itself the final decision and be able to discuss it without others present?

Chancellor Humphrey stated that he felt the spirit of the recommendation was understood. He recommended that this matter be referred to the Chancellor's Advisory Cabinet for development of a specific rewording for an amendment to the Board's By-Laws, taking into consideration the reservations stated and complying with the spirit of the faculty proposal.

Mrs. Knudtsen suggested the positions involved should be spelled out.

Mr. Hug suggested the Regents must keep in mind that the Constitution provides that the University of Nevada is to be controlled by the Board of Regents and the Board must exercise its obligation. The Regents have, he said,

delegated to administrative officers and to the faculty in many areas. He said he had always believed it to be a good idea but was concerned over recent events which had caused him to wonder whether the faculty have acted responsibly. He said, in his opinion, participation by faculty in making policy demands responsible action on the part of those faculty.

Mr. Hug stated that he was referring to recent faculty action in attacking one of the University officers personally when their action should have been directed to the policy of the issue rather than to the person. He suggested the Regents should perhaps reconsider the type of faculty participation they have been encouraging.

Mr. Bilbray stated that UNLV Faculty Senate in its criticism recognized that the acts of the Regents were merely in response to a recommendation by the Chancellor.

Mr. Jacobsen stated that he objected to the assumption that the Regents were "rubber stamps".

Mr. Bilbray stated that the major problem is that there is an open lack of faith on the part of at least 2 Faculty

Senates in the chief executive officer of the System. He said the Chairman's suggestion was not good.

Mr. Humphrey questioned whether Mr. Bilbray's remarks were accurate, pointing out that he was aware that his action in one regard had been evaluated by the faculty but he was not aware that the faculty had attempted to evaluate his total performance as Chancellor. He suggested that Mr. Bilbray was going too far in interpreting to the Press his own feelings and crediting them to the faculty. He also suggested that it was particularly irresponsible to make such statements based on discussions with a few, unnamed faculty.

Mr. Hug stated that the administrative officers of the University are representatives of the Board of Regents. He also stated that the Board of Regents is given the responsibility of operating the University and is responsible to the people of the State of Nevada. He questioned whether it was up to other people to evaluate the performance of the administrative officer of the University; rather, he suggested they should confine their evaluation to policy.

Dr. Driggs said he felt the faculty had acted very responsi-

bly. He suggested that the faculty were very much concerned with the University and urged the Regents to continue to work together with the faculty and continue to encourage faculty involvement.

Dr. Driggs also stated that it was his understanding that in spite of the newspaper account of UNLV's action, he believed it was not a censure but taking issue over a specific action.

Mr. Seeliger suggested that in view of this, perhaps it was the responsibility of the faculty to see that the newspaper account was corrected.

Mr. Humphrey noted that the action had stemmed from his appointment, with Board of Regents approval, of a Director for the Computing Center. He noted that in discussions with the Coordinating Council on May 7, at which time the resolutions of the Faculty Senates had been discussed, he had informed the Coordinating Council members that at such time as the Board voted to amend its By-Laws to incorporate the recommendation of the Coordinating Council, he would immediately refer the consideration of the Vice Chancellor for Computing Systems to the Faculty Senates.

Motion by Mr. Ronzone, seconded by Mr. Grant, carried without dissent that the recommendation of the Chancellor that the Chancellor's Advisory Cabinet be requested to develop an amendment to the Regents' By-Laws to incorporate the faculty proposal be approved.

29. Rules and Regulations for Visitation Privileges in Residence Halls

President Miller reported that a meeting of the Student

Affairs Committee of the Board of Regents had been held
in April with students from the UNR Campus for the purpose of discussing rules and regulations concerning

visitation privileges in the residence halls. He recommended that development of these rules and regulations
be delegated to the Administration for implementation
and action.

President Zorn reported that a similar meeting of the

Student Affairs Committee had been held in May with

students from the UNLV Campus and he concurred with President Miller's recommendation.

Mr. Ronzone, Chairman of the Student Affairs Committee, said he had been much impressed by the manner in which the students had handled their meeting with the Regents and their positive approach to the situation. Mr. Hug agreed that it had been a pleasure to meet with the students and to listen to their presentation.

Motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Ronzone, carried without dissent that the development of rules and regulations concerning visitation privileges in the residence halls be delegated to the Administration for implementation and action.

Mr. Jim Hardesty, ASUN President, expressed appreciation for the Regents taking the time to meet with them and discussing with them the matters of concern to the students.

Dr. White suggested that there were additional areas in which students have good ideas and she encouraged more meetings with the students.

30. Candidates for Degrees

President Zorn suggested that some consideration be given to the procedure this year for approval of candidates for degrees, pointing out that UNLV Commencement is scheduled the day before the Board of Regents and that some provision must be given to the approval of this year's graduates.

Motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent that provisional approval of June, 1969 candidates for degrees be given subject to confirmation by Administration.

Mrs. Knudtsen suggested that this be adopted as continuing policy and moved that approval of candidates for degrees shall be made by the Presidents of each Campus and the final list presented to the Board of Regents. Motion seconded by Mr. Bilbray, carried without dissent.

31. Consideration of Policy Statement

Mr. Jacobsen suggested that the Board of Regents consider the adoption of a policy statement, as follows:

It shall be the policy of the Board of Regents that before any new degree programs or new Departments can

be added, such proposals must be introduced at one meeting and voted upon no earlier than the following meeting.

Mr. Jacobsen requested that this policy statement be considered and that action be requested on its adoption at the June meeting.

32. Edith Albert Estate

Chancellor Humphrey reported that final settlement of the Edith Albert Estate is nearing completion and reported as follows on the valuation of the assets and the provisions of the will:

Valuation of the assets of the Edith Albert Estate, as per Mr. Walter Bradley, Trust Officer, First National Bank:

Cash (as of January 3, 1969) \$ 15,846.80

Common Stocks (current as of 4/28/69) 391,724.38

Convertible Bonds 10,008.75

Treasury and Municiple Bonds 51,610.00

Total \$469,189.93

Provisions of the Will:

All of the rest and residue of my estate ... I give, devise and bequeath to the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada, in trust ..." "to invest, conservately, the capital funds and corpus of said trust in securities registered on the New York Stock Exchange which are eligible for investments by savings banks chartered in the State of Nevada.

... for the creation of one or more annual scholarships to be provided from the income of the invested assets of said trusts..." "... no one scholarship will exceed \$2000.

To be eligible for such scholarships, students must be bona fide residents of the State of Nevada, must be enrolled for study at the principal University of Nevada located at Reno and not at some branch of said University, and such recipients must have completed their first 3 years of undergraduate study with creditable grades.

Also, that a fair and equitable procedure be worked out for the repayment of such scholarships and that the monies repaid will become immediately available for additional scholarships.

In the event that all of the income from said trust is not used for scholarships for each and every year, then I desire that such uncommitted funds be added to the principal corpus of this trust.

President Miller referred back to Chairman Hug's comments concerning faculty involvement and stated that he was sure Regent Hug had not meant to restrict the right of faculty members to criticize their administrative officers. He stated that within the bounds of good taste, an administrative officer should be subject to criticism. Mr. Hug agreed, but stated he was opposed to a faculty group getting together to censure a person. He said that, in his opinion, the faculty should be free to take issue with a policy but not to personally attack a person.

Upon motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Dr. White, the following items were added to the agenda:

33. Community College Division Budget

Chancellor Humphrey presented a proposed budget for the

Community College Division and the Elko Community College

for consideration by the Board, noting that its adoption

would make it possible to begin recruiting (budget filed

with permanent minutes).

Mr. Seeliger asked if the budget had been approved by the Advisory Board in Elko. Mr. Humphrey pointed out that the Board of Regents would be appointing a new Advisory Board as of July 1, 1969 and that the proposed budget had not been admitted to the existing Advisory Board, but should go to the new Board and any suggestions they have for amendment should be given consideration.

Mr. Seeliger suggested that a committee from the Board of Regents, the State Board of Education and the Community College Advisory Board plan to meet after July 1, 1969 so that there is every precaution taken to keep all those concerned properly informed.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Mr. Jacobsen, carried without dissent that the budget document be approved as

submitted.

34. Bid Openings

A. Mr. Pine reported that the Nevada Bureau of Mines, Mackay School of Mines, had requested the printing of 1600 Lincoln County topographic maps and prices were received as follows:

William & Heintz, Washington, D. C. \$6,160.00

The National Survey, Vermont 9,978.00

The Steck Company, Texas no bid

Mr. Pine recommended that award of the contract to William & Heintz. President Miller and Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Mr. Bilbray, seconded by Dr. White, carried without dissent that the above recommendation be approved.

B. Mr. Pine reported that bids were opened at 2:00 P.M.,May 8, 1969 for the sale of the Lakeside Drive property. He noted that one bid was received from Mr.

and Mrs. William B. Sullivan for \$90,000, through the real estate firms of Walters and Humphrey.

Mr. Pine recommended the bid of Mr. and Mrs. Sullivan be accepted. Chancellor Humphrey concurred.

Motion by Mr. Jacobsen, seconded by Mr. Grant, carried with the following roll call vote:

Yes - Regents Bilbray, Grant, Hug, Jacobsen, Knudtsen, Seeliger

No - Regents Lombardi, Ronzone, White Regents Anderson and Bell absent.

The meeting adjourned at 5:05 P.M.

05-09-1969